Claims 1-6 are pending in the present application. Claims 1 and 2 are herein amended.

No new matter has been presented.

Notice of References Cited

The Office Action indicated that the Funahashi reference (JP 2001-064021) was cited in

an Information Disclosure Statement (IDS). (Office Action, page 3.) Applicant notes that the

Funahashi reference was submitted with the IDS of August 1, 2006; however, the reference was

inadvertently left off of the Form PTO/SB/08 submitted with the IDS. Applicant requests that

the Funahashi reference be made officially of record by listing on the Notice of References Cited

(Form PTO/892).

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. §§ 102 and 103

Claims 1-4 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Ariafard,

Hydrogen peroxide decomposition over La0.9Sr0.1Ni1-xCrx03(0<x<1) pervoskites, Catalysis

Communications, 4 (2003)561-566); and claims 5 and 6 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a)

as being unpatentable over Ariafard in view of Funahashi (JP 2001-064021).

Favorable reconsideration is requested.

Applicant respectfully submits that Ariafard does not teach or suggest "A complex oxide

having a composition represented by the formula $La_vM^1{}_wNi_xM^2{}_yO_z$; wherein M^1 is Bi" as recited

in amended claims 1 and 2.

- 4 -

Amendment under 37 C.F.R. §1.116 Attorney Docket No. 062440

Application No. 10/577,916

Ariafard discloses an oxide having a perovskite structure represented by La_{0.9}Sr_{0.1}Ni₁-

xCrxO3. Ariafard does not disclose an oxide of the formula recited in Claims 1 and 2 of the

present application, wherein M1 is Bi.

For at least the foregoing reasons, claims 1 and 2 are patentable over the cited references,

and claims 3-6 are patentable by virtue of their dependence from either claim 1 or 2.

Accordingly, withdrawal of the rejection of claims 1-6 is hereby solicited.

In view of the aforementioned amendments and accompanying remarks, Applicant

submits that the claims, as herein amended, are in condition for allowance. Applicant requests

such action at an early date.

If the Examiner believes that this application is not now in condition for allowance, the

Examiner is requested to contact Applicant's undersigned attorney to arrange for an interview to

expedite the disposition of this case.

If this paper is not timely filed, Applicant respectfully petitions for an appropriate

extension of time. The fees for such an extension or any other fees that may be due with respect

to this paper may be charged to Deposit Account No. 50-2866.

Respectfully submitted,

WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP

/Andrew G. Melick/

Andrew G. Melick

Attorney for Applicants

Registration No. 56,868

Telephone: (202) 822-1100

Facsimile: (202) 822-1111

AGM/adp

- 5 -